


# Creation Revelation



God is in the details

Volume 12, Issue 4

Fall 2008

---

Thy word is true from the beginning: and every one  
of thy righteous judgments endureth forever.

R1

Psalm 119:160

---

## The Moneychangers-3 Big Brother

Little children, it is the last time: and as ye have heard that **antichrist shall come**, even now are there **many antichrists**; whereby we know that it is the last time. **They went out from us, but they were not of us**; for if they had been of us, they would no doubt have continued with us: but they went out, that they might be made manifest that they were not all of us. **But ye have an unction from the Holy One, and ye know all things.** <sup>1</sup> John 2:18-20

For God hath put in their hearts to **fulfil his will**, and to agree, and give their kingdom unto the beast, until the words of God shall be fulfilled. Revelation 17:17

### Slavery

When they had come to Capernaum, those who received the temple tax came to Peter and said, "Does your Teacher not pay the temple tax?" He said, "Yes." And when he had come into the house, Jesus anticipated him, saying, "What do you think, Simon? **From whom do the kings of the earth take customs or taxes, from their sons or from strangers?**" Peter said to Him, "From strangers." Jesus said to him, "Then the sons are free." Matthew 17:24-26 NKJV

In Matthew 17 Jesus was speaking of taxes, and went on to instruct us to be obedient to authority

even though that authority was unjust in its application. Jesus was not addressing the issue of undue oppression in this case. Men, to be free, must remain free in mind and speech. Men should be considerate of others and respect their individual beliefs and opinions, but in our current culture we are beginning to cross a line that is defining how to speak and what is politically correct to speak about. In fact, many passages in our Bible might be considered politically incorrect "hate" speech if quoted in public (refer to Romans chapter 1). The very meaning of many of our words has been changed, and there are those that seek to revise our history to remove any reference of our Christian heritage in the public arena and classroom. We have entered an era where political correctness and tolerance is being taken to

another level. We have not yet reached the upper end of extreme, but the trend is moving in that direction. When we do, the definition will be slavery, but it will not be called that.

In the book *1984*, George Orwell wrote of a leader called Big Brother.<sup>1</sup> The future society that he wrote about was a truly malevolent society with extreme surveillance of all individuals. Phrases were coined in that book that gave a vision of the future such as “a boot stamping on a human face – forever.”<sup>2</sup> Words from that book were added to our lexicons such as “newspeak” and “doublethink” that twisted the meanings of words such as “war” and “peace”, so that war itself became peace.<sup>3</sup> The world portrayed by that book was in a perpetual state of war.<sup>4</sup> For the purposes of control over citizens the war did not need to be real, but the citizens needed to imagine that it was. Aldous Huxley comments in his book, *Brave New World Revisited*:

*The society described in Orwell's fable is a society permanently at war, and the aim of the rulers is, of course, to exercise power for its own delightful sake and, second, to keep their subjects in that state of constant tension which a state of constant war demands of those who wage it.*<sup>5</sup>

The minds of the citizens were controlled and manipulated so that their sense of reality was whatever the leader said it to be. This was a state of total control over the minds and bodies of the world citizens so much so that man could only imagine his freedom behind the invisible bars of his permanent slavery. History itself was changed to whatever the current situation demanded so that the enemies of the state yesterday suddenly today are our friends and always had been. Orwell also wrote, “Who controls the past, controls the future.”<sup>6</sup>

Aldous Huxley's first book, *Brave New World*, also spoke of a future controlled world that enslaved the people by giving them whatever they wanted to satiate their carnal lusts.<sup>7</sup> In this society, the people were controlled by entertainment and legalized drugs. Their own selfish desires were the means of their enslavement. This is a little like the people in the latest animation movie WALL-E who became so dependent on their servant robotic machinery, that they failed to notice that they had been enslaved by the very machinery built to serve them.

*The victim of mind-manipulation does not know that he is a victim. To him, the walls*

*of his prison are invisible, and he believes himself to be free.*<sup>8</sup>

Our society today is following the same path to slavery described in these two books, and most people do not even recognize it. The only question we might ask, is which form will it take? My own speculation is that I see signs that indicate that we may be facing a combination of both described scenarios.

Before World War II, and even extending into the 1950's, people generally lived rather modestly. People of the “middle-class” lived in smaller homes with no fences on their borders and interacted with their neighbors. Until the mid-1950's television in homes was a rarity. My first experience with television was to go to a neighbor's house to watch a single channel. Eventually, a couple of other channels were added and I sat staring at a “test pattern” until the first program came on at noon. I didn't care what program it was, I just watched. I watched until the test pattern again appeared at the end of the day, usually about 10 pm. Then I went to bed. Thus began the world's addiction to a drug more dangerous and more controlling than any heroin or cocaine high imaginable.

Soon, the television became the “mother” and “father” as millions of children sat mesmerized by the likes of Howdy Doody, Pinky Lee, Bozo the Clown, and eventually *Sesame Street*. Parents soon realized that television was the ultimate pacifier. No longer would mom or dad have to tuck little Billy into bed by reading a bedtime story, they simply could scoop him off the floor or couch after he fell asleep in front of the television's end-of-day test pattern.

Suddenly, like the society of Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*, millions all over the world entered into a form of slavery where minds could be manipulated by billions of sound-bites emanating from your favorite show or news broadcast. Television was not the only, or even the first, method of mind manipulation; it however became the most efficient. Television was in the business of telling people what and how to think. People today seem more interested in gossip, thus shows such as Nancy Grace (CNN) or Greta Van Susteren (FOX), get higher ratings than serious news shows. Even serious news shows seem to rightly assume that their audience does not have the mental ability or time to absorb truth in hard facts. So they give their audience a form of entertainment that passes for news to keep them tuning in. Somehow, as

Europeans often point out, Americans cannot think beyond their own borders. The perception is that Americans are generally ignorant of what is happening on the world scene. It is possible, even likely, that the news is intentionally filtered to manipulate the acceptance of a planned agenda. If so, it is thought that an ignorant populous is easier to control. According to Ben Bradley, editor of the *Washington Post* as reported by David Brooks in the *Wall Street Journal*, 5 October 1989.:

*I'm interested in causes. We don't print the truth. We don't pretend to print the truth. We print what people tell us. It's up to the public to decide what is true.*<sup>9</sup>

Generally speaking, people do not understand what the issues and problems are all about. They only imagine that they understand based on the acceptance of the propaganda machines of the media and the pronouncements of our various leaders, both liberal and conservative. News is strung together in sound bites and given a particular slant for a managed agenda.

A study done at Florida State University, and published in a book by Thomas Dye, titled *Who's Running America?: The Bush Era*, states:

*Television tells Americans what to feel as well as what to think about.*<sup>10</sup>

Thomas Dye explains how agendas are promoted:

*In reality, political issues do not just 'happen.' Creating an issue, dramatizing it, calling attention to it, and pressuring government to do something about it are important political tactics of agenda-setting. These tactics are employed by influential individuals, organized interest groups, political candidates, government leaders, and most of all, by the mass media.*<sup>11</sup>

It is thus easy to see how what we are told by our leaders and media translates into control of the minds of the manipulated masses.

The potential for control would not be lost on the corporate or political realm. Through the medium of radio, television, newspapers, and the movies agendas are advanced and people are told what to think about as well as what to feel. Worldviews, what people believe and feel about the world and their culture, are advanced through these mediums. Worldviews are also advanced through the public school systems as a humanistic agenda is foisted on a complacent public. Thomas Dye confirms that

the prevailing worldview presented by the media and in schools is liberal (Marxist/Humanist).<sup>12</sup>

In fact, the major battle raging in this land is the battle of worldviews. Children that receive their education from the public school system will by a greater percentage eventually succumb to either a secular humanist or a Marxist worldview, with some following a similarly constructed new age worldview. These are the only views that are allowed voice in the government run schools. Eventually, the social planners envision that the Christian, particularly fundamentalist Christian, worldview will cease as the system reeducates a larger percentage of the world's children into a compatible worldview. It is the goal of the world planners to manipulate acceptance of a one-world globalist system that would result in a planned socialist society, controlled by and for the benefit of the Globalist elite. This is their "utopia."<sup>13</sup>

### The Fairness Doctrine

He that hateth dissembleth with his lips, and layeth up deceit within him; When he speaketh fair, believe him not: for there are seven abominations in his heart. Proverbs 26:24-25

What is the "Fairness Doctrine"?

*The policy of the United States Federal Communications Commission that became known as the "Fairness Doctrine" is an attempt to ensure that all coverage of controversial issues by a broadcast station be balanced and fair. The FCC took the view, in 1949, that station licensees were "public trustees," and as such had an obligation to afford reasonable opportunity for discussion of contrasting points of view on controversial issues of public importance. The Commission later held that stations were also obligated to actively seek out issues of importance to their community and air programming that addressed those issues. With the deregulation sweep of the Reagan Administration during the 1980s, the Commission dissolved the fairness doctrine.*<sup>14</sup>

The *Fairness Doctrine* is an issue that has been strongly supported by leaders of the liberal left for some very obvious reasons. The fact is that

Liberal Talk Radio has not been successful. Those that are calling for the revival of the *Fairness Doctrine* are really saying, "Let's stifle conservative thought." They are calling for this even though the mainstream media news programs are generally liberal, such as NBC, MSNBC, ABC, CBS, CNN, and PBS. Also, the nation's major newspapers such as *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *The Los Angeles Times*, and most others are liberal in their editorial policies, and presentation of political news events. The success of Conservative Talk Radio has given the general public access to news reporting from the conservative or moderate perspective. You may not like everything you hear, but at least you now have the ability to view issues from more than one perspective. The liberals who support the *Fairness Doctrine* do not want you to hear all views. They want you to hear their views. The *Fairness Doctrine* when applied may also stifle what may be presented on the Internet as well as Christian broadcasting.

The *Fairness Doctrine* proposes to stifle conservative talk by requiring stations to counter each conservative program with another program presenting liberal views. Or, each program must have two hosts presenting opposite views, such as the Hannity and Colmes program on FOX. It sounds great, but it could end talk radio. Radio stations would find it a money loser to be forced to air unprofitable liberal programs. The profitability of conservative talk points to the fact that America is conservative in its core values. It is well known that, to be successful, politicians know that they must campaign to appeal to the broad spectrum of voters. People may be naïve and confused by the conservative sounding rhetoric of the liberal politicians as they invariably will campaign to the middle position to siphon conservative and undecided votes.

According to an article by William Tate: *Conservative talk radio has been successful, i.e. profitable—and liberal talk radio has not—because of the most basic of reasons: it supplied a market demand that was otherwise unmet. Listeners turn to conservative talk shows to meet that need. Listeners mean ratings. Ratings mean advertising revenues.*

***The success of Rush and other conservative talk radio hosts, and the failure of liberals to achieve similar success, is the underlying motivation***

***behind Democrats' efforts to re-impose the Fairness Doctrine.*** Among those who have spoken up for the position are Senators John Kerry and Dick Durbin and Representatives John Dingell, Dennis Kucinich, and Louise Slaughter.

According to Accuracy In Media Editor Cliff Kincaid, ***with Democrats in control of both the White House and Congress, a Democratic-appointed F.C.C. could easily re-impose the Fairness Doctrine.*** "This may be what is planned," he told the Cybercast News Service.<sup>15</sup>

You should be aware that Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi has stated her support for the *Fairness Doctrine*. Regarding the *Fairness Doctrine* the Federal Communications Commission has stated:

***"We believe that the interest of the public in viewpoint diversity is fully served by the multiplicity of voices in the marketplace today and that the intrusion by government into the content of programming occasioned by the enforcement of the doctrine unnecessarily restricts the journalistic freedom of broadcasters. Furthermore, we find that the Fairness Doctrine, in operation actually inhibits the presentation of controversial issues of public importance to the detriment of the public and in degradation of the editorial prerogative of broadcast journalists."***<sup>16</sup>

The imposition of the *Fairness Doctrine* could have the effect of further restricting the access to alternative news sources, thus allowing the "world planners" free reign in bringing about their one-world scheme.

### Globalism – While Men Slept

Another parable put he forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field: But **while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares** among the wheat, and went his way. But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also. So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares? He said

unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up? But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them. Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn. Matthew 13:24-30

There is an organization of “world planners” called “*The Bilderbergers*”. This organization receives little attention from the news media, but has great influence on the governments of the world. According to one Bilderberger observer, Pierre Beaudry, their plans include “perpetual war.”<sup>17</sup> Remember that Orwell’s future society controlled its citizens by the threat, real or imagined, of perpetual war. Daniel Estulin observes:

*“Bilderberger members “run” the central banks and are therefore in a position to determine discount rates, money-supply levels, interest rates, and the price of gold, as well as which countries receive loans. By manipulating money up and down the business chain, the Bilderbergers create billions of dollars for themselves. The ideology of money and lust for power drives them.*

*Every U.S. President since “Ike” Eisenhower has belonged to the Bilderberg Group, not that they have all attended the meetings personally, but all have sent their representatives.*<sup>18</sup>

These people control the world’s money supply; they can thereby rule the world.

I find it significant that in our current financial crisis banks such as Citibank and Morgan Chase are buying up failing banks at bargain prices putting financial power into fewer hands. In addition, the U.S. Government is also buying up failing banks in a distinctive move toward fascism.<sup>19</sup>

As we consider the current economic crisis situation our country is facing we should keep in mind the words of Denis Healey, former British Defense Minister:

*World events do not occur by accident: They are made to happen, whether it is to do with national issues or commerce; and*

*most of them are staged and managed by those who hold the purse strings.*<sup>20</sup>

The Bilderberg Group was formed in 1954 by Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands. Membership is by invitation, favoring those that believe in their idea of a Fabian-socialist one-world government. Among those so honored are David Rockefeller, Henry Kissinger, and Bill Clinton. Fabianists believe in “the democratic control of society in all its activities”. They believe that “control of the individual is best achieved through global government, a goal Fabianism shares with Communism.”<sup>21</sup> Prince Bernhard reveals the goal of the organization is to abolish nation states with these words:

*It is difficult to re-educate people who have been brought up on nationalism to the idea of relinquishing part of their sovereignty to a supra-national body.*<sup>22</sup>

In 1977, *The Times of London* described the men involved this way:

*... a clique of the richest, economically and politically most powerful and influential men in the Western world, who meet secretly to plan events that later appear to just happen.*<sup>23</sup>

In their own terms, these men seek a monopoly consisting of a “world corporation” with a single global marketplace militarily controlled by a single force, and regulated financially by a single World Bank and currency. They intend to control all people; promote zero population growth; destroy the prosperous Canadian and US economies by moving manufacturing to poorer countries through the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA); create a perpetual imbalance by creation of crises to produce tension (dialectics); make the workplace so tedious and stressful that the worker cannot think through his choices – thus creating apathy in the general population; centralize control of all education to “sterilize the world’s true past” – making succeeding generations “ignorant of the lessons of history, individual liberties, and the meaning of freedom”; centralize and control all foreign and domestic policies; empower the United Nations; expand NAFTA to include South America creating an American Union (the short term goal is the creation of a North American Union (NAU) with the AMERO replacing the dollar and peso); expand the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) to create a UN world army; centralize the world’s legal system in the International Court of Justice; all leading to the

ultimate goal of a socialist welfare state with obedient citizenry (slavery).<sup>24</sup> If you believe that this is an exaggeration then consider the following:

*The authority is empowered to govern because it is given the final rule of law, the economic control of the single monetary system, and the might of a single army. Furthermore, Monnet himself stated that once a particular power has been yielded to the supranational government, it should never be returned to the individual sovereign state.*<sup>25</sup>

This information should make it clear why border security is not a front-burner issue of our government.

If the Bilderberger's intend to remold the world into their own image then why isn't our "watchdog" media shouting about it? The answer is that the media is controlled and has been for some time. To understand this you need only realize that the powerful members of the press have been invited to participate in organizations dedicated to the goal of global government. One such organization is the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) that seats members by invitation only and has a controlling influence on the U.S. Department of State. Also, most White House cabinet positions are filled by CFR members, such as Condoleezza Rice. The membership not only includes top level government leaders and advisers, but all those that control the major news media of this nation. The CFR, like the Bilderbergers, is a secret organization. Katherine Graham, deceased owner of the *Washington Post* has said, "There are some things the general public does not need to know about us and shouldn't." A common denominator between the CFR and the Bilderbergers is David Rockefeller who is the mainstay of both.<sup>26</sup> David Rockefeller is reported to have made this statement in an opening speech at the Bilderberg conference at Baden-Baden, Germany in June 1991:

*We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during these years. But the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government which will never*

*again know war, but only peace and prosperity for the whole of humanity. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in the past centuries.*<sup>27</sup>

Shortly after the founding of the CFR in 1921, New York City's mayor John Hylan said that the "real menace to our republic is the invisible government" in a speech on 26 March 1922 saying, "This little coterie of international bankers virtually run our government for their own selfish ends."<sup>28</sup>

Senator William Jenner, speaking of an invisible government of elites, particularly the CFR, made the following statement in a speech on 23 February 1954:

*Today the path to total dictatorship in the United States can be laid by strictly legal means; unseen and unheard by the Congress, the President, or the people.... Outwardly we have a Constitutional government. We have operating within our government and political system another body representing another form of government, a bureaucratic elite which believes our Constitution is outmoded and is sure that it is the winning side.... All the strange developments in foreign policy agreements may be traced to this group who are going to make us over to suit their pleasure.*<sup>29</sup>

### Party Politics – A Body of Lies

And the serpent said unto the woman, **Ye shall not surely die:** For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat. Genesis 3:4-6

Because of the influence of these secret agenda setting organizations and their control of the world's wealth, there is not much difference between either the Republican or Democratic parties. These agenda setting groups can control both parties' ultimate goals by their wealth and king making power.

They flatter the high flying candidates by allowing them to open the curtain of their inner sanctum to view the wizard at the controls. This is peer pressure at its extreme, and the promise of earthly power is as corrupting as the lie in the Garden. No matter which party gains the White House, you can be sure that the advisors will be from among members of the CFR. No matter what party is in power the goal is always the same. You can be sure that the wizard is always pulling the lever.

The path to achieve the ultimate goal of world domination is at the heart of the agenda followed by each party. Each party may have a different appearance – the core-values, motives, and statements of the candidates are tailored to appeal to that segment of the population espousing those values. However, the ultimate goal of a global one-world system is the same. Each candidate may speak to whatever “change” that appeals to the core values and desires of their base, but actual change will be determined by the agendas set by the policy makers through the influence of their surrogates that surround each elected official. That is why no matter which party gains power, nothing seems to change. It was our second president, John Adams, that decried against political parties believing, as did Washington and others at that time, that parties would be the ruination of the republic.<sup>30</sup> Political parties do not serve the people, they serve themselves. It may be time that the American people begin to select their leaders from among independent candidates that have no allegiance to the “establishment” political parties.

In our current situation, for the first time in our nation’s history we see government beginning to demand partial ownership of the banks they are aiding. This is a move toward fascism. A danger seen by Joel C. Rosenberg, writing on 16 October 2008, is that Wall Street is reacting to fear that Obama will be elected on 4 November. The concern Wall Street has is not that Obama will be president, but that his presidency will form an absolute Democratic power structure of Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, and their liberal allies. This power structure coupled with a democratic presidency will become too powerful as they add more democratic congressional seats and gain a filibuster proof toe-hold on congress that will rubber stamp Obama’s socialistic economic plan. This will lead to packing the Supreme Court, without serious opposition, with likeminded liberals to further solidify the democrat’s

bulletproof law making ability. This, Wall Street considers disastrous for the economy and the nation.<sup>31</sup> A guest on the *Glenn Beck* program on CNN during the week of 13 October 2008 believes that a democrat as president, coupled with Nancy Pelosi, Harry Reid, and a filibuster proof congress will establish a “parliamentary” type leadership with Pelosi as “prime minister”, and Obama as the front man.

What is the problem of socialism?

*Socialists mainly share the belief that capitalism by nature concentrates power and wealth among a small segment of society that controls capital, and creates an unequal society. All socialists advocate the creation of an egalitarian society, in which wealth and power are distributed more evenly, although there is considerable disagreement among socialists over how, and to what extent this could be achieved.*<sup>32</sup>

Barak Obama told “Joe the plumber” that he wanted to “spread the wealth.” Spreading the wealth is a socialistic phrase. The response to the liberal democrat that wants such a welfare state is, “How do you create more jobs if you tax the businesses, especially small businesses, that provide those jobs? You can tax the business to provide a handout to the poor, but how do you prevent the same businesses from cutting jobs to pay for the increase in taxes? Likewise, the proposal to remove the “cap” on social security earnings, If applied, will hurt everyone; especially those on fixed retirement income. Removal of the “cap” will especially hurt employers, causing layoffs, as the employer’s portion becomes too costly to absorb. Also, raising taxes on landlord’s income will push up rents to compensate, thus adversely affecting the poorer segment of society. Raising taxes will ultimately result in an increase in prices, including rents, or an increase in unemployment. The redistribution of wealth by way of progressive taxation has always stifled economic progress and was the ruination of soviet Russia.

It is the liberal mindset that promotes socialism which usually resorts to the Marxist scheme of progressive taxation to redistribute the wealth. Socialism is the basis of the Humanist/Marxist/Leninist worldviews that are in direct opposition to the Biblical Christian worldview. Thus, the liberal’s solution to the current economic crisis is a redistribution of wealth scheme. At first, this seems like a good approach, but does the forced

distribution of the earnings of others to those that did not earn it seem like the fair thing to do? This ultimately may produce the opposite effect just as socialism in Russia repressed ambition, financial progress, and production. This is a clear move toward a welfare mentality and the eventual further destruction of our economy and nation as we know it.

Belief in the liberal idea of welfare as an entitlement has resulted in the destruction of family units. Because of welfare regulations many low income families are forced to separate, leaving the mother alone to raise the children in order to be able to claim the government handout. The Church has historically been the provider for the poor until liberalism gained control of the governmental and educational system. Once the government entered the welfare picture the Church let up. The government should not be in the welfare business. Government participation in welfare leads to government as a benevolent Big Brother, loss of ambition, and creation of a perpetual state of dependence.

The inefficient system of government handouts is not the solution. Taxing the rich is one of arbitrarily defining who is rich. The liberal ideal of taxing the rich (by whose definition?) to provide welfare to the poor is one of taxing those that are the job providers to the poor. This liberal mantra of "taxing the rich" gives the false perception that the liberal stands for the poor man. The liberal may have high ideals, but sometimes those ideals do not lead to helping man, but creating dependence. The idea to "spread the wealth" is no solution at all. Even the Democrat President John F. Kennedy understood this principal (when properly applied) better than the current democratic candidates when he said:

*Lower rates of taxation will stimulate economic activity and so raise the levels of personal and corporate income as to yield within a few years an increased flow of revenues to the Federal Government.*<sup>33</sup>

## The Financial Situation – False Weights

**Divers weights are an abomination** unto the Lord; and a false balance is not good.  
Proverbs 20:23

As this article is being composed this nation and the world is in financial turmoil. People are frightened at the prospect of heavy losses in

retirement savings and whether or not they will have a job tomorrow. The government has promised a bailout of the faltering banks and financial institutions that will have profound long term effects on the purchasing power of families and individuals. Where on earth will the government get the more than 700 billion dollars proposed for the bailout? The answer is the wizardry of the printing press. The government will simply "borrow" the money from the private international bankers represented by the private central bank known as the Federal Reserve, who will simply print it without any backing other than a bond deposited by the government. In effect, the money generated is backed by debt, the promise to pay. The money will then enter the money supply already in circulation, with the long term effect of devaluing the dollar. The citizen will lose purchasing power as the prices rise to compensate. This will be compounded by the ability of banks to loan more money than they have on deposit (fractional reserve banking) as more promissory notes (debt) flow into the bank coffers thus creating even more inflation as the money supply is further increased by the magic of fractional reserve banking. The taxpayer is now liable for the interest on the money created out of nothing by the printing press of the international banker (Rockefeller, Rothschild, et al). The elite moneychangers always win under this system. As the U.S. and Canadian economy is lowered, parity with the peso may be a realistic goal for the establishment of the desired North American Union.

What began this current crisis? One could point first to Congress as they created Fannie Mae (1938) and Freddy Mac (1970) to "to keep money flowing into the home-loan market by buying up mortgages and selling them to investors, making home ownership more affordable." This allowed returning WWII veterans to purchase affordable homes through government subsidy. It created the mindset of home ownership as an entitlement.<sup>34</sup>

As interest rates began to fall in 2001, people began to refinance their homes, taking advantage of the increase of equity caused by rising home prices. As the interest rates continued to fall, more and more people entered the home market, many attempting to purchase homes beyond their means, some with adjustable rate mortgages. Lending requirements were relaxed by government decree to allow lower income families to purchase homes. This was accomplished in 1977 by the Community Reinvestment Act that "forced lending institutions to

grant mortgages to people whose income, credit histories, and net worth would previously have disqualified them from getting such loans" (sub-prime loans). Government thus intervened and encouraged Fannie Mae to buy up such loans. As the housing market began to collapse, many with adjustable rate mortgages felt the bite first. As the housing market continued to decline many more that took advantage of their increase in equity, and had refinanced or took equity loans, saw their equity begin to decline until their mortgage exceeded the value of their home. Fannie Mae now had homes on their hands that were not worth the loans they had made.<sup>35</sup> This led to Fanny Mae's eventual financial instability.

Another area of concern is the so called "hedge funds" where the super rich and pension funds invest in high risk ventures that promise extremely high rates of return without regulation. Some of these hedge funds are near collapse.

During the Civil War, Abraham Lincoln refused to borrow from the international bankers and chose instead to print his "greenback" dollars directly from the U.S. Treasury, without obligating the nation to interest payments.

*Lincoln printed 400 million dollars worth of Greenbacks (the exact amount being \$449,338,902), money that he delegated to be created, a debt-free and interest-free money to finance the War. It served as legal tender for all debts, public and private. He printed it, paid it to the soldiers, to the U.S. Civil Service employees, and bought supplies for war.*<sup>36</sup>

John F. Kennedy began to do the same thing, printing millions in "U.S. Treasury Notes", thus bypassing the Federal Reserve. Both Lincoln and Kennedy were assassinated. President Johnson stopped the printing of Treasury Notes shortly after taking office.

*On June 4, 1963, a virtually unknown Presidential decree, Executive Order 11110, was signed with the authority to basically strip the Federal Reserve Bank of its power to loan money to the United States Federal Government at interest. With the stroke of a pen, President Kennedy declared that the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank would soon be out of business.*<sup>37</sup>

A simple solution to our financial problem has been proposed by the producers of the documentary

DVD *The Money Masters*, and is simply outlined as follows:

### The Two Step Plan to National Economic Reform and Recovery

1. Directs the Treasury Department to issue U.S. Notes (exactly like Lincoln's Greenbacks) to pay off the National debt.
2. Increases the reserve ratio private banks are required to maintain from 10% to 100%, thereby terminating their ability to create money, while simultaneously absorbing the funds created to retire the national debt.

*These two relatively simple steps, which Congress has the power to enact, would extinguish the national debt, without inflation or deflation, and end the unjust practice of private banks creating money as loans (i.e., fractional reserve banking). Paying off the national debt would wipe out the \$400+ billion annual interest payments and thereby balance the budget. This Act would stabilize the economy and end the boom-bust economic cycles caused by fractional reserve banking.*<sup>38</sup>

The solution is simple, but the power and influence of the bankers is entrenched deeply within the powers in Washington.

### Rapid Move toward a Surveillance Society

And he **causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads:** And that **no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name.** Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six. Revelation 13:16-18

To put the world into perspective regarding the current activities of Russian military moves, Richard C. Cook reports:

*Since the 1980s the U.S. has been fighting wars throughout the world either directly or by proxy. The former Yugoslavia was dismembered by NATO. Under cover of 9/11 and by utilizing off-the-shelf plans, the U.S. is now engaged in the military*

conquest and permanent military occupation of the Middle East. A worldwide encirclement of Russia and China by U.S. and NATO forces is underway, and a new push to militarize space has begun. The Western powers are clearly preparing for at least the possibility of another world war.<sup>39</sup>

Cook then adds:

*The expansion of the U.S. military empire abroad is mirrored by the creation of a totalitarian system of surveillance at home, whereby the activities of private citizens are spied upon and tracked by technology and systems which have been put into place under the heading of the "War on Terror." Human microchip implants for tracking purposes are starting to be used. The military-industrial complex has become the nation's largest and most successful industry with tens of thousands of planners engaged in devising new and better ways, both overt and covert, to destroy both foreign and domestic "enemies."*<sup>40</sup>

Katherine Albrecht and Liz McIntyre's 2006 book, *The Spychips Threat*,<sup>41</sup> details the threat that Radio Frequency ID's (RFID) and other electronic surveillance pose to individual freedom. The read range of RFID is between "a couple of centimeters to twenty or thirty feet." Battery powered RFID's may be read up to a mile away or more.<sup>42</sup>

Surveillance devices are being promoted by government and industry as being necessary for our protection in these perilous times. We are shown the many benefits of injecting our pets and children with tracking devices so we may feel safe in the knowledge of their whereabouts at all times. An RFID injected in the bodies of soldiers may allow for tracking and recovery operations, thus protecting our military. Industry uses RFID's and electronic surveillance to control inventory and prevent theft, and the technology exists for tracking the whereabouts of employees using RFID chips in company uniforms, entry cards, and wall and floor readers. This technology may even be used to determine if food handlers wash their hands after a visit to the restroom.<sup>43</sup>

Loyalty cards, such as used by Safeway and others, allow a supplier or manufacturer to gather data about the buying habits of their customers. This information is stored in databases and can be used

to tailor discounts for "loyal customers" while discouraging bargain shoppers with higher prices. Did you ever wonder whether the coupons on the cash register tape were generated by the information in your loyalty card database?<sup>44</sup>

Smart shelves can manage inventory. RFID's in the goods from those shelves can track the shopper throughout the store. Smart carts can even attempt to suggest other related items based on the shopper's history and what is already in the cart. RFID readers built into your refrigerator or cabinets could be utilized to keep inventory, make your shopping list, and even place your order. RFID readers can even be used to notify your insurance company that you are not taking your medicine, thus resulting in higher premiums.<sup>45</sup>

RFID's in the cards in your wallet, or woven into the clothing or labels on your back can potentially be tracked and identify you, the shopper, as you enter a store. Stores with reader ability will keep tracking your movements through the store until you leave. Reader devices would be hidden in the doorway, floor, walls, and shelving. The ultimate goal of the industry is to keep the RFID's active throughout the product's useful life and beyond. With unique individual ID numbers in each chip, the product can then be tracked from the manufacture, to the store, to the home or office, to eventually the garbage can and dump. They even plan to sell information about your garbage using roving scanners who collect the data by driving through your neighborhood on collection day.<sup>46</sup> RFID's are so tiny that even individual needles can be tracked in this way. You can now literally find a needle in a haystack.<sup>47</sup> Do you think this is extreme? The military has the ability to track BB's in space. If they can find a BB, then they can surely find you if they want. With RFID's and other techniques, it is becoming more impossible to hide. With active RFID's in your cards and clothing you can be tracked from store to store as you move about town or pass through toll booths.

For those of you that want to drop out of the system, Big Brother has a plan for you as well. It will soon be impossible to conduct untraceable business with only cash. The plan is to insert RFID technology into your paper money so that all of your transactions are recorded, not just your credit card activity. According to Prisonplanet.com, there is evidence that an RFID chip is now located behind the right eye of Andrew Jackson on the new twenty dollar bill. When you leave the bank with your twenty

dollar bill, Big Brother will watch as you spend part of it on a McDonald Big Mac and shake, and then pick up your change and march over to K-Mart where Big Brother watches as you buy a DVD. He even knows the title of that DVD.<sup>48</sup>

Big Brother can identify you by the RFID's in the clothes you bought. They were all registered to you by the credit card or loyalty card you used when you purchased them. RFID readers will spot you, and any transaction you make will be recorded in your name. But, eventually a simpler solution would be to permanently ID you by a chip inserted in your body, or an invisible RFID tattoo on your hand or forehead.<sup>49</sup>

All of this technology is available today including the insertable chip. The only thing that is preventing its widespread use is consumer resistance. But, as we saw with 9/11 and the Patriot Act, it won't take much to overcome the natural resistance and bring about the step toward the ultimate surveillance society as more people are willing to exchange freedom for security. "The starting point is spychipped driver's licenses, building access cards, and student name tags, and the end will be microchips embedded in your flesh."<sup>50</sup> Spychipped driver's licenses are in the works, building access cards are already here, while some have succumbed to the lure of the convenience and security of the insertable chip produced by *Digital Angel*.<sup>51</sup>

## Conclusion

Till we all come **in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ:** That we henceforth be **no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness,** whereby they lie in wait to deceive; But **speaking the truth in love, may grow up into him in all things, which is the head, even Christ:** From whom the whole body fitly joined together and compacted by that which every joint supplieth, according to the effectual supplieth, according to the effectual working in the measure of every part, maketh increase of the body unto the **edifying of itself in love.** Ephesians 4:13-16

I'm sure that some who read this will not agree with some of the conclusions that I have portrayed. But, it is the nature of our free society that we all may yet express our views. One thing is certain though; we each have a responsibility to determine what is true, so that we are well informed as Christians. It is not enough to just meander through life being blown this way and that by every new wind of supposed doctrine. Many in our time have lost our thirst for knowledge. We prefer to be entertained. Few people care to learn the truth, but rather be told what to believe. These are the people that will follow obediently after Big Brother, not questioning whether or not global warming is true, or just a political ploy of those who have another agenda. There are many voices on both sides of the issue, but do we just accept what some leader says without viewing the opposite position?

Our politicians from both sides give us half truths and outright lies, yet many believe those lies because they want to believe their candidate. They never consider that lies speak of a man's character. If we continue to elect leaders with faulty characters, then can we complain when we receive faulty government? We need to seek out the truth, and demand it from our leaders.

The same is true of the Bible. There are many voices that say that it is just a book written by men and that it can't be trusted. Many more hear those voices but never investigate the veracity of that statement preferring to trust their professor or the *Discovery Channel* that the Bible is a myth. If you are reading this and have never investigated for yourself whether the Bible is the Word of God, then I suggest you think for yourself and seek a systematic method to read the Bible. Then, if you reject it you at least can say you have read it. One suggestion is to read 2 chapters of the Old and 2 chapters of the New Testament each day. There are other methods you can follow. One excellent method is to subscribe to a small monthly Bible reading program put out by Bible Pathways ([www.biblepathways.org](http://www.biblepathways.org)). This program will take you through the Bible in one year. At least read the book of John to see how much God loves you.

Time is very short. The time is rapidly approaching where the Antichrist will have the final solution requiring those who are left behind to worship him and to receive his mark in order to buy or sell. Those failing to take the "mark" and worship the "beast" will be killed. Those that do so will suffer

eternal punishment. Christ may return at any moment to take those that serve Him out of the world before that dreadful “day of the Lord” known as the Great Tribulation. Peter wrote of the unbelieving time we are experiencing when he said:

Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts, And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. For this they willingly are ignorant.... 2 Peter 3:3-5

The Bible is clear, Jesus will return for His own. If you have not accepted Christ, tomorrow may be too late. Please, do it now. AΩ

**(Endnotes)**

<sup>1</sup> George Orwell, 1984, New York, NY: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers, 1949, 1977.  
<sup>2</sup> Orwell, 1984, p. 271.  
<sup>3</sup> Orwell, 1984, p. 5.  
<sup>4</sup> Orwell, 1984, pp. 33-35, 260, 261.  
<sup>5</sup> Aldous Huxley, BRAVE NEW WORLD REVISITED, New York, NY: Perennial Library, Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc., (combined edition: BRAVE NEW WORLD & BRAVE NEW WORLD REVISITED), 1932, 1946, 1958, 1960, 1965, p. 21.  
<sup>6</sup> Orwell, 1984, p. 35.  
<sup>7</sup> Aldous Huxley, BRAVE NEW WORLD, New York, NY: Perennial Library, Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc., (combined edition: BRAVE NEW WORLD & BRAVE NEW WORLD REVISITED), 1932, 1946, 1958, 1960, 1965.  
<sup>8</sup> Huxley, BRAVE NEW WORLD REVISITED, p. 90.  
<sup>9</sup> Michael S. Coffman, Ph.D., SAVIORS OF THE EARTH?, Chicago, IL: Northfield Publishing, 1994, p 171, reported by David Brooks in the Wall Street Journal, 5 October 1989.  
<sup>10</sup> Thomas R. Dye, WHO'S RUNNING AMERICA?: The Bush Era, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 5th Ed., 1990, pp. 117, 129, 139.  
<sup>11</sup> Dye, WHO'S RUNNING AMERICA?: The Bush Era, p. 117.  
<sup>12</sup> Dye, WHO'S RUNNING AMERICA?: The Bush Era, pp. 117, 129, 139.  
<sup>13</sup> For a thorough study of various worldviews prevalent in our society, refer to David A. Noebel, THE BATTLE FOR TRUTH, Eugene, OR: Harvest House Publishers, 2001; and, David A. Noebel, UNDERSTANDING THE TIMES: The Story of the Biblical Christian, Marxist/Leninist and Secular Humanist Worldviews, Manitou Springs, CO: Summit Press, 1991.  
<sup>14</sup> www.museum.tv/archives/etv/F/htmlF/fairnessdoct/fairnessdoct.htm.  
<sup>15</sup> William Tate, THE FAIRNESS DOCTRINE AT WORK, www.americanthinker.com/2008/06/the\_fairness\_doctrine\_at\_work.html, 20 June 2008.  
<sup>16</sup> www.twf.org/News/Y1997/Fairness.html  
<sup>17</sup> Daniel Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, Waltherville, OR: TrineDay, 2007, p. 20.  
<sup>18</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 22.  
<sup>19</sup> FASCISM, www.Wikipedia.org, "In an article in the 1932 Enciclopedia Italiana, written by Giovanni Gentile and attributed to Benito Mussolini,

fascism is described as a system in which 'The State not only is authority which governs and molds individual wills with laws and values of spiritual life, but it is also power which makes its will prevail abroad.... For the Fascist, everything is within the State and... neither individuals nor groups are outside the State.... For Fascism, the State is an absolute, before which individuals or groups are only relative....'"

<sup>20</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 1.  
<sup>21</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, pp. viii, 24, 25. Wikipedia: "The **Fabian Society** is a British intellectual socialist movement, whose purpose is to advance the principles of Social democracy via gradualist and reformist, rather than revolutionary means."  
<sup>22</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 1.  
<sup>23</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 1.  
<sup>24</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, pp. 41-43.  
<sup>25</sup> Robert R. Congdon, THE EUROPEAN UNION AND THE SUPRA-RELIGION: Setting the Stage for the Final Act? A Biblical Perspective, Xulon Press, 2007, pp. xix, 178. Jean Monnet is the true founder of the European Union.  
<sup>26</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 78, 80, 82.  
<sup>27</sup> Richard C. Cook, IS AN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CONSPIRACY DRIVING WORLD EVENTS? www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=8450.  
<sup>28</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, pp. 78, 79.  
<sup>29</sup> Estulin, THE TRUE STORY OF THE BILDERBERG GROUP, p. 79.  
<sup>30</sup> David McCullough, JOHN ADAMS, New York, NY: Simon & Schuster, 2001, p. 422.  
<sup>31</sup> Joel C. Rosenberg, IMPLICATIONS OF THIS FINANCIAL APOCALYPSE, Washington, DC: 16 October 2008.  
<sup>32</sup> Wikipedia on-line encyclopedia, Socialism.  
<sup>33</sup> John F. Kennedy, Annual Budget Message, Jan. 17, 1963.  
<sup>34</sup> Brian Farmer, GOVERNMENT BAILOUT, The New American, Appleton, WI: 29 September 2008, pp. 11, 13.  
<sup>35</sup> Farmer, GOVERNMENT BAILOUT, pp. 13, 14.  
<sup>36</sup> www.prolognet.qc.ca/clyde/pres.htm.  
<sup>37</sup> www.john-f-kennedy.net/thefederalreserve.htm.  
<sup>38</sup> Received via email from the producers of the documentary THE MONEY MASTERS on 1 October 2008. See the complete proposed Monetary Reform Act at www.themoneymasters.com/mra.htm.  
<sup>39</sup> Cook, IS AN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CONSPIRACY DRIVING WORLD EVENTS? www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=8450.  
<sup>40</sup> Cook, IS AN INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CONSPIRACY DRIVING WORLD EVENTS? www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=8450.  
<sup>41</sup> Katherine Albrecht, Liz McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, Nashville, TN: Nelson Current, 2006.  
<sup>42</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, p. 18.  
<sup>43</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp 35, 36, 157, 158, 160, 163-165.  
<sup>44</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 4, 179, 180, 182, 183.  
<sup>45</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 5, 86, 87, 92.  
<sup>46</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 2, 3, 24-27, 102-104.  
<sup>47</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 13.  
<sup>48</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 5, 180, 181. www.prisonplanet.com/022904rfidtagsexplode.html.  
<sup>49</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 7, 18, 19, 33, 34, 123.  
<sup>50</sup> Albrecht, McIntyre, THE SPYCHIPS THREAT. Why Christians Should Resist RFID and ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE, pp. 197, 213, 216.  
<sup>51</sup> www.digitalangel.com.

**Creation Revelation** is a quarterly publication distributed free of charge via mail to those who request it. Topics will have a primary focus on the Defense of Scripture, including Creation (Genesis), Humanism, and Prophecy (Revelation). Other topics of interest may be presented. Questions, comments, and suggestions are encouraged. Copyright © 2008 Robert W. Tozier. All rights reserved. Permission is given to photocopy and freely distribute this material for the glory of God as long as it is not done for commercial purposes. Edited by Samuel Williams and Kristin Oien. http://www.theeternity project.com; and www.calvarychapelpearl harbor.com. E-Mail: [CreatRev@hawaii.rr.com](mailto:CreatRev@hawaii.rr.com); Mail: Robert & Mary Tozier, 92-222 Hoalii Place, Kapolei, HI 96707. Tel: 808-672-7229 - ALOHA! AΩ